

Deterioration due to rapid offload of pleural effusion fluid from chest drains

Date of issue:

01 December 2020

Reference no:

NatPSA/2020/008/NHSPS

This alert is for action by: acute and specialist hospital trusts (for adults and for children) and independent hospitals providing NHS-funded services where chest drains may be inserted.

This is a safety critical and complex National Patient Safety Alert. Implementation should be co-ordinated by an executive lead (or equivalent role in organisations without executive boards) and supported by clinical leaders in respiratory medicine, thoracic surgery and oncology/clinical haematology.

Explanation of identified safety issue:

Pleural effusions are the accumulation of fluid between the lung and chest wall, which may cause breathlessness, low oxygen saturation and can lead to collapsed lung(s). They are a common medical problem and have over 50 recognised causes¹ and various treatments. Large effusions, such as those caused by pleural malignancy, may require insertion of a chest drain and controlled drainage of fluid to allow the lung to inflate.

If large volumes of pleural fluid are drained too quickly, patients can rapidly deteriorate. Their blood pressure drops, and they can become increasingly breathless from the potentially life-threatening complication of re-expansion pulmonary oedema² (RPO). This is due to a sudden re-expansion of the compressed lung with an accumulation of oedema within the lung(s). The rate at which fluid is drained must be controlled in order to prevent cardiovascular instability and collapse.

A review of the National Reporting and Learning System (NRLS) over a recent three-year period identified 16 incidents where patients experienced acute and significant deterioration after uncontrolled or unmonitored drainage of a pleural effusion; two of these patients died and a cardiac arrest call was made for one patient although the outcome was not reported.

Incident reports suggested:

- staff did not expect large quantities of pleural fluid to drain
- observations and monitoring of patients after chest drain insertion were either not timely or not done
- plans to manage the rate of fluid drainage were not documented or not followed.

Insight from a sample of local policies indicates variation in practice for rate and volume of fluid drainage, frequency of observations and the use of clamps.

Good Practice Standards³ for adults have been developed by the Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists (ARNS) and the British Thoracic Society (BTS) to support consistent clinical procedures and reduce variability in practice.

Actions required



Actions to be completed by 1 June 2021

- 1) Review local chest drain clinical procedures/LocSSIP (or equivalent documents) to ensure they:
 - a. Follow BTS guidelines for adults² and/or children⁴ for **controlled drainage** of large pleural effusions.
 - b. Include **post-procedure management plans** that align with BTS standards.⁵
 - c. Incorporate the **good practice points** outlined in the ARNS/BTS Good Practice Standards³ for adults. ^{Note A}
- 2) Provide a bedside observation chart or monitoring document⁶ (electronic or paper) that embeds the key elements of the revised policy to ensure it includes:
 - clear instruction on frequency of observation; including continuous direct observation for first 15 minutes³
 - red flag triggers for drain closure
 - local escalation procedure for patient deterioration before, during and after chest drain insertion.

For further detail, resources and supporting materials see: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/2020/12/deterioration-due-to-rapid-offload-of-pleural-effusion-fluid-from-chest-drains/>

For any enquiries about this alert contact: patientsafety.enquiries@nhs.net

Additional information:

Note

A. ARNS/BTS Good Practice Standards are for adult patients, however the broad principles apply to all ages.

Patient safety incident data and literature

The NRLS was searched for incidents reported to have occurred on or after 8 May 2017 and uploaded to NRLS by 28 October 2020 using a combination of keywords (our reference PSI443). All incidents of moderate harm, severe harm and death were reviewed and samples of low and no harm incidents were reviewed.

In total, 16 incidents described harm to patients from unmonitored or uncontrolled rapid offload of pleural effusion fluid. Extrapolation from review of samples of incidents reported as no or low harm indicated that if these had all been reviewed around 15 additional incidents would have been identified.

Reports described patients with RPO, acute deterioration, haemodynamic compromise including critically low blood pressure, increased oxygen demand or collapse. One described the death of patient shortly after they were found collapsed with their chest drain overflowing, and another described the death of a patient after an unmonitored open chest drain drained 5 litres of fluid. One incident described the need to call the crash team but did not specify the outcome. Other reported consequences were unconsciousness, delirium, profound hypotension, significantly reduced oxygen saturations, increased breathlessness, tachycardia, chest pain and distress.

All relevant incidents related to adult patients older than 18 years. RPO as a result of pleural effusion drainage can affect children but is extremely rare.²

References and resources

- 1) British Thoracic Society. Pleural disease guideline 2010: investigation of a unilateral pleural effusion in adults. https://thorax.bmj.com/content/65/Suppl_2/ii4
- 2) British Thoracic Society. Pleural disease guideline 2010: management of a malignant pleural effusion. https://thorax.bmj.com/content/65/Suppl_2/ii32
- 3) ARNS/BTS Good practice standards for controlled removal of fluid from chest drains (Adults) 2020 <https://arns.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Good-Practice-Standards-Rapid-Offload.pdf>
- 4) British Thoracic Society – Guidelines for the management of pleural infection in children 2005. https://thorax.bmj.com/content/60/suppl_1/i1
- 5) British Thoracic Society – National safety standards for invasive procedures: bronchoscopy and pleural procedures.2018 <https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/quality-improvement/clinical-resources/interventional-procedures/national-safety-standards-for-invasive-procedures-bronchoscopy-and-pleural-procedures/>
- 6) ARNS website: provides additional resources including an exemplar chest drain monitoring chart, patient information leaflet, and adapted WHO checklist for pleural procedures <https://arns.co.uk/chest-drain-management-resources/>
- 7) Hirsch AW, Nagler J. Reexpansion pulmonary edema in pediatrics. *Pediatric Emergency Care* 2018; 34 (3): 216-220. <https://cme.lww.com/ovidfiles/00006565-201803000-00015.pdf>
- 8) The Royal Marsden Manual of clinical nursing procedures 10th Ed, chapter on chest drain management; Wiley-Blackwell <https://www.rmmonline.co.uk/manual/c12-sec-0114#c12-sec-0114>

Stakeholder engagement

- Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists
- British Thoracic Society
- Royal College of Nursing Respiratory Specialist Advisor
- National Patient Safety Response Advisory Panel (for a list of members and organisations represented on the panel, see <https://www.england.nhs.uk/patient-safety/patient-safety-alerts/>)

Advice for Central Alerting System (CAS) officers and risk managers

This is a safety critical and complex National Patient Safety Alert. In response to [CHT/2019/001](#) your organisation should have developed new processes to ensure appropriate oversight and co-ordination of all National Patient Safety Alerts. CAS officers should send this Alert to the executive lead nominated in their new process to co-ordinate implementation of safety critical and complex National Patient Safety Alerts, copying in the leads identified on page 1.